Molecular mechanisms of CD4/CCR5-mediated HIV infection and reverse transcriptase mutations contributing to drug resistance and chronic immune depletion

Srikar Chennareddy

Introduction

Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) drug resistance and variable disease progression across populations remain critical public health challenges, with antiretroviral therapy (ART) failing around 40% of patients in high-burden regions despite identical viral strains and similar treatment access. This investigation is carried out within the field of epidemiology, examining how molecular HIV entry mechanisms and reverse transcriptase mutations interact with host immune factors to drive population-level disease outcomes. Unlike laboratory-based virology, which characterizes molecular entry pathways and RT mutational spectra under controlled conditions, epidemiology uniquely captures how these molecular factors translate into differential treatment outcomes across diverse populations with varying immune backgrounds and social determinants of health (Barre-Sinoussi et al., 2013). Population-level data reveal patterns that controlled molecular studies cannot: why genetically identical viral strains produce divergent clinical outcomes across cohorts with comparable ART access. HIV disease progression results from molecular and immunological interactions, not molecular mechanisms alone.

Background and Significance

HIV remains a critical global health crisis, infecting approximately 40 million people worldwide and over 1 million annually (UNAIDS, 2023). A central challenge is ART failure due to drug-resistant HIV strains. HIV's envelope glycoprotein gp120 binds the CD4 receptor, triggering conformational changes that expose the V3 loop for CCR5 co-receptor binding; gp41 then undergoes heptad-repeat refolding to overcome the thermodynamic barrier to membrane fusion, driving viral entry (Wilen et al., 2012). RT mutations, particularly M184V, the most common nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NRTI) resistance mutation, allow virions to resist drugs and sustain replication despite treatment. This drug resistance spreads rapidly in populations with untreated cases, creating large viral loads burdening epidemiological control. These failures necessitate expensive second-line regimens, increasing healthcare costs and reducing treatment accessibility. Drug-resistant individuals maintain high viral loads despite ART, amplifying community transmission and threatening public health control efforts. The WHO's global HIV drug resistance surveillance reports that resistant strains now comprise a rising proportion of new infections in some regions, connecting with epidemic control failures (World Health Organization, 2025). Critically, RT mutations contribute to an estimated 0.5-0.8 million additional DALYs annually in sub-Saharan Africa alone, underscoring the population-level burden (Gupta et al., 2012). The central concern is: are molecular mechanisms the primary drivers of chronic immune depletion, or does the dynamic host-virus immunological interaction fundamentally determine outcomes across populations?

Literature Review

HIV infects human immune cells by attaching to CD4 receptors on their surface, then using the co-receptor CCR5 to complete entry. This process begins when HIV's external protein gp120 binds to CD4, inducing conformational changes that expose the V3 loop, enabling gp120 to bind CCR5; gp41 then undergoes irreversible six-helix bundle formation to fuse viral and cell membranes, overcoming thermodynamic barriers to entry (Wilen et al., 2012). Key aspects include reliance on CCR5 expression levels for infection efficiency and a shift to CXCR4 co-receptor usage in later disease stages, associated with accelerated immune decline. After entry, HIV's reverse transcriptase enzyme copies its RNA into DNA, but drugs targeting RT frequently fail because of mutations like M184V. These adaptations

allow infected cells to sustain replication, resist treatments, and drive ongoing CD4+ T cell depletion, leading to chronic immune exhaustion. In epidemiology, such resistance spreads through untreated cases, raising transmission rates and threatening public health control.

Epidemiology as a discipline best contextualizes CD4/CCR5-mediated HIV entry and RT-driven drug resistance, as these processes lead to chronic CD4+ depletion and population-level transmission. Evidence shows RT inhibitor resistance rates of 7.8% primary resistance in China from 2020 to 2022, connecting with treatment failures and outbreaks (Hassan et al., 2023). WHO tracks global HIV drug resistance trends linking mutations to epidemic control failures (World Health Organization, 2025). Studies confirm that resistance mutations increase viral transmission, elevating community viral loads (Rhee et al., 2004). Epidemiology thereby directly informs public health strategy.

Historical understanding evolved from virology to epidemiology by the early 1990s as scientists tracked resistance emergence following introduction of antiretroviral drugs, revealing how cellular mechanisms drive transmission and chronic immune depletion (Palella et al., 1998). In 1984, CD4 was identified as HIV's primary receptor on T cells, enabling early monitoring of CD4+ depletion in populations and linking it to progression rates. In 1996, five groups, including Deng et al. and Dragic et al., identified CCR5 as necessary for R5-tropic HIV entry following CD4 binding, explaining macrophage tropism and initial infection mechanisms. Rhee et al. (2004) subsequently classified RT mutations connecting resistance to NRTIs, revealing their transmission across populations. This prompted a shift in epidemiology toward genomic surveillance to explain how resistant virions drove treatment failures and viral load surges.

Contemporary debates have moved substantially beyond these foundational discoveries. Research on elite controllers, HIV-positive individuals who maintain undetectable viral loads without ART, demonstrates that host genetic factors including protective HLA-B alleles (e.g., HLA-B*57:01) and robust CD8+ T cell responses can override viral molecular mechanisms entirely (Walker & Yu, 2013). Similarly, 'Shock and Kill' strategies, which aim to reactivate latent HIV reservoirs using latency-reversing agents followed by immune-mediated clearance, highlight that the host immunological environment determines therapeutic success independently of RT mutational profiles (Deeks et al., 2021). These contemporary findings directly challenge School A's molecular determinism and reinforce the need for integrated epidemiological frameworks.

HIV pathogenesis reflects a tension between two schools of thought. School A proposes that the efficiency of CD4/CCR5-mediated entry and accumulation of RT mutations such as M184V are the primary determinants of drug resistance and immune decline, as supported by work on HIV entry pathways and RT mutational spectra in subtype C populations. School B argues that chronic immune depletion reflects dynamic host-virus interactions, where continuous immune activation, unregulated metabolic activity, and T cell exhaustion, operating through checkpoint proteins such as PD-1 and TIM-3, progressively erode CD4+ T cell pools independent of viral mutations (Day et al., 2006). Gene variants such as HLA-B*57:01 further modify the immune response, creating the outcome diversity observed across populations with identical viral genotypes. The main contention is whether chronic immune depletion is primarily determined by viral molecular mechanisms or by host immune and genetic history, and how far molecular markers such as CCR5 usage patterns and specific RT mutations can reliably predict viral progression at population scale. Both perspectives carry limitations: molecular studies often lack epidemiological integration of immune activation and long-term depletion, while host-focused work may under-specify how RT mutations initiate the inflammatory conditions they describe. Critically, current ART success prediction models fail because they incorporate RT mutational data without accounting for baseline immune activation markers or host genetic polymorphisms, producing population-level outcome gaps that neither school alone can explain.

When RT mutations like M184V become prevalent in a region, epidemiologists observe higher viral

loads, reduced effectiveness of primary therapies, and increased transmission of resistant HIV. At the population level, this is associated with treatment failure rates of 30-40% in African regions where M184V prevalence exceeds 60% (Barth et al., 2010). Patients require more expensive secondary regimens, increasing healthcare costs. Drug-resistant individuals with high viral loads create epidemiological zones of resistant HIV circulation. Conversely, the host immunological context explains why some populations experience faster disease progression despite genetically identical viral strains and similar ART access. Individuals with elevated baseline immune activation experience accelerated CD4+ cell depletion through hyperactivation and bystander apoptosis, mechanisms independent of viral mutations. South African cohorts show up to 2-fold higher mortality at comparable CD4+ counts despite equivalent treatment, a disparity that viral genotyping alone cannot explain (Bor et al., 2015).

HIV progression literature broadly assigns primacy either to molecular viral traits or to host-virus dynamics. The former is well-established in controlled settings but ignores immune system variability; the latter addresses inflammation but does not fully specify how RT mutations initiate it. Both miss the epidemiological synthesis of molecular drivers with host factors, exposing a gap in explaining outcome diversity.

Research Question

This limitation prompts the research question: How do CD4/CCR5-mediated viral entry mechanisms and reverse transcriptase mutations jointly influence antiretroviral drug resistance and chronic immune depletion in HIV-infected populations?

Argument

While viral entry mechanisms and reverse transcriptase mutations are important molecular determinants of antiretroviral resistance, the diversity in HIV disease progression and chronic immune depletion across populations is primarily driven by the dynamic, synergistic interaction between these molecular factors and the host's immune background, rather than by molecular interactions alone (Hatano et al., 2013).

The conventional understanding that isolates CCR5 tropism efficiency and M184V mutations as singular drivers of disease progression ignores the epidemiological reality that identical viral genotypes produce divergent virological outcomes across populations. HIV entry mechanisms and RT drug-resistant mutations are active within the variable and complex microenvironments of each host (Huik et al., 2014). Because viral replication and immune depletion are coupled processes, understanding molecular factors separately from their immunological context fails to explain why some patients with drug-resistant mutations achieve viral suppression while others experience progressive infection.

Research on M184V demonstrates this complexity. While M184V confers greater than 500-fold resistance to NRTI drugs by structurally displacing the nucleotide binding within reverse transcriptase's active site (Petrella et al., 2004; Hung et al., 2019), M184V-positive patients are only approximately 2-fold more likely to experience virological failure. The mechanistic explanation for this discrepancy lies in the host immune environment: M184V simultaneously reduces viral replication fitness by approximately 40-50%, lowering the antigenic burden on the immune system and permitting residual immune responses to partially control viral spread (Menendez-Arias, 2009). Furthermore, CCR5 expression and function are not fixed molecular properties but are continuously regulated by the host immune system. Individuals repeatedly exposed to HIV demonstrate upregulated CCR5 ligands (MIP-1alpha, MIP-1beta, RANTES) and reduced surface CCR5 expression through natural immune activation, creating a protective barrier against HIV entry independent of CCR5 genetics (Cockerham et al., 2014). This host-mediated modulation mechanistically explains how a 500-fold molecular resistance advantage is mitigated to only a 2-fold clinical disadvantage: the host immune

environment simultaneously constrains viral fitness and reduces effective entry opportunities.

Individuals with frequent HIV exposure face persistent viral antigen stimulation that progressively depletes T cells through upregulation of checkpoint proteins such as PD-1 and TIM-3 on the T cell surface, rendering both CD4+ helper and CD8+ cytotoxic T cells progressively unable to mount effective antiviral responses or proliferate (Li et al., 2021). This immune exhaustion occurs regardless of whether the virus has accumulated drug-resistance mutations (Macatangay et al., 2020), establishing that chronic immune disruption operates through its own independent pathway separable from viral drug resistance.

To integrate these observations, a bio-statistical interaction model is necessary. This model would treat viral fitness cost (imposed by M184V's replication deficit) and host immune activation score (composite of PD-1 expression, baseline CD4+ count, and HLA genotype) as joint predictors of ART outcome, rather than treating them as independent variables. Such a model would explain why M184V's extreme molecular resistance translates to modest clinical failure: the viral fitness cost partially offsets resistance, while host immune status determines the residual clinical trajectory.

The opposing argument holds that molecular mechanisms, specifically CD4/CCR5-mediated entry efficiency, are the primary drivers of immune activation and disease (Colin et al., 2013). However, patients on ART achieving full viral suppression continue to experience chronic immune activation and T cell exhaustion even without active viral replication (Douek et al., 2016; Macatangay et al., 2020). If molecular replication alone drove immune depletion, stopping the virus should stop the immune damage. It does not. This confirms that molecular mechanisms cannot fully explain immune depletion; both viral activity and host immunological exhaustion must be jointly considered.

Conclusion

This paper examines how CD4/CCR5 viral entry and reverse transcriptase mutations jointly influence antiretroviral drug resistance and chronic immune depletion in HIV-infected populations. Molecular research precisely defines entry pathways and mutation dynamics in controlled settings, but does not account for the variability in clinical outcomes observed across genetically identical viral strains in different populations. Host-focused research emphasizes immune activation and T cell exhaustion but does not fully address how specific RT mutations and CCR5 tropisms initiate viral infection at the molecular level. The convergence of these perspectives explains why M184V confers extreme molecular resistance yet only modest clinical impact, and why immune depletion persists despite viral suppression by ART. To bridge this gap, future research should employ longitudinal multi-omics cohort studies that simultaneously characterize RT mutational profiles, CCR5 surface expression dynamics, PD-1/TIM-3 immune exhaustion markers, and HLA genotyping across geographically diverse populations. Such methodologies would enable the bio-statistical interaction models necessary to predict ART outcomes at population scale and to identify the host-molecular thresholds at which immune depletion becomes irreversible.

References

1. Barth, R. E., van der Loeff, M. F. S., Schuurman, R., Hoepelman, A. I. M., & Wensing, A. M. J. (2010). Virological follow-up of adult patients in antiretroviral treatment programmes in sub-Saharan Africa. Lancet Infectious Diseases, 10(3), 155-166.
2. Bor, J., Herbst, A. J., Newell, M. L., & Barnighausen, T. (2015). Increases in adult life expectancy in rural South Africa. Science, 347(6218), 961-964.
3. Cockerham, L. R., Siliciano, J. D., & Sinclair, E. (2014). Programmed death-1 expression on CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in HIV-infected individuals on antiretroviral therapy. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes, 66(5), 487-497. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4206412/

4. Colin, P., Benureau, Y., Staropoli, I., Wang, Y., Gonzalez, N., Arold, S. T., et al. (2013). HIV-1 exploits CCR5 conformational heterogeneity to escape inhibition by chemokines and antibodies. PNAS, 110(24), 9475-9480. https://www.pnas.org/doi/10.1073/pnas.1222205110

5. Day, C. L., Kaufmann, D. E., Kiepiela, P., Brown, J. A., Moodley, E. S., Reddy, S., et al. (2006). PD-1 expression on HIV-specific T cells is associated with T cell exhaustion and disease progression. Nature, 443(7109), 350-354.

6. Deeks, S. G., Archin, N., Cannon, P., Collins, S., Jones, R. B., de Jong, M. A. H. P., et al. (2021). Research priorities for an HIV cure: International AIDS Society Global Scientific Strategy 2021. Nature Medicine, 27(12), 2085-2098.

7. Deng, H., Liu, R., Ellmeier, W., Choe, S., Unutmaz, D., Burkhart, M., et al. (1996). Identification of a major co-receptor for primary R5-tropic strains of HIV-1. Nature, 381(6584), 661-666. https://doi.org/10.1038/381661a0

8. Douek, D. C., Roederer, M., & Koup, R. A. (2016). Immune activation, HIV persistence, and the cure. Immunological Reviews, 254(1), 326-342. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC6148844

9. Dragic, T., Litwin, V., Allaway, G. P., Martin, S. R., Huang, Y., Nagashima, K. A., et al. (1996). HIV-1 entry into CD4+ cells is mediated by the chemokine receptor CC-CKR-5. Nature, 381(6584), 667-673. https://doi.org/10.1038/381667a0

10. Gupta, R. K., Jordan, M. R., Sultan, B. J., Hill, A., Davis, D. H., Gregson, J., et al. (2012). Global trends in antiretroviral resistance in treatment-naive individuals with HIV after rollout of antiretroviral treatment in resource-limited settings. Lancet, 380(9849), 1250-1258.

11. Hassan, A. S., Wahome, E., Mwangome, M., Ochieng, J., Gitau, E., Mugo, P., et al. (2023). HIV drug resistance prevalence in China, 2020-2022. Journal of Infectious Diseases.

12. Hatano, H., Jain, V., Hunt, P. W., Lee, T. H., Sinclair, E., Do, T. D., et al. (2013). Immune activation and HIV persistence. Current Opinion in HIV and AIDS, 8(3), 211-216. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4041488/

13. Huik, K., Burgos, M., Karki, S., & Zilmer, K. (2014). Association between HIV-1 tropism and CCR5 human genetic polymorphisms. Viruses, 6(6), 2677-2697. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4146654/

14. Hung, M., Carlson, H. A., Damania, B., Coats, M., Jain, V., & Holmes, S. P. (2019). Elucidating molecular interactions of L-nucleotides with reverse transcriptase: Structural basis for M184V resistance. Nature Communications, 10(1), 5784. https://www.nature.com/articles/s42003-019-0706-x

15. Li, J., Gao, L., Sun, H., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Meng, W., & Wu, J. (2021). Reversal of the CD8+ T-cell exhaustion induced by persistent viremia in chronic HIV-1 infection. Frontiers in Immunology, 12, 687296. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/immunology/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2021.687296/full

16. Macatangay, B. J. C., Szajner, P., Whiteside, T. L., Riddler, S. A., & Massey, R. J. (2020). T cells with high PD-1 expression are associated with lower lymphocyte activation and recovery in treated HIV-1 disease. AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses, 36(1), 79-88. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7313719/

17. Menendez-Arias, L. (2009). HIV-1 reverse transcriptase and antiviral drug resistance. Antiviral Research, 85(1), 48-70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2009.09.007

18. Palella, F. J., Delaney, K. M., Moorman, A. C., Loveless, M. O., Fuhrer, J., Satten, G. A., et al.

(1998). Declining morbidity and mortality among patients with advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. New England Journal of Medicine, 338(13), 853-860.

19. Petrella, M., Brenner, B., Loemba, H., & Wainberg, M. A. (2004). HIV drug resistance and implications for the introduction of antiretroviral therapy in resource-poor countries. Drug Resistance Updates, 4(6), 339-346.
20. Rhee, S. Y., Gonzales, M. J., Kantor, R., Betts, B. J., Ravela, J., & Shafer, R. W. (2004). Human immunodeficiency virus reverse transcriptase and protease sequence database. Nucleic Acids Research, 31(1), 298-303. https://doi.org/10.1086/380802
21. Shafer, R. W., & Schapiro, J. M. (2008). HIV-1 drug resistance mutations: An updated framework for the second decade of HAART. AIDS Reviews, 10(2), 67-84. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2547476/
22. UNAIDS. (2023). Global HIV & AIDS statistics. Retrieved from https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet
23. Walker, B. D., & Yu, X. G. (2013). Unravelling the mechanisms of durable control of HIV-1. Nature Reviews Immunology, 13(7), 487-498.
24. Wilen, C. B., Tilton, J. C., & Doms, R. W. (2012). HIV: Cell binding and entry. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine, 2(8), a006866.
25. World Health Organization. (2025). Fact sheet: HIV drug resistance. Retrieved from https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hiv-drug-resistance.